HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

PCB GM 06-02 BILL #: **Growth Management**

SPONSOR(S): Growth Management Committee

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
Orig. Comm.: Growth Management Committee		Strickland	Grayson
1)			
2)			
3)	-	·	
4)			
5)			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

PCB GM-06-02 is a bill relating to growth managment. The PCB:

- Removes the requirement that the entire local comprehensive plan be financially feasible.
- Provides for a waiver of the transportation facilities concurrency requirements for certain urban infill, redevelopment, and downtown revitalization areas.
- Deletes record keeping and reporting requirements related to transportation de minimis impacts.
- Provides for small scale amendments for certain built-out municipalities.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: pcb02.GM.doc 3/24/2006

DATE:

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

The bill does not appear to implicate any of the House Principles.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background:

Ch. 2005-290, L.O.F.

The 2005 Legislature enacted ch. 2005-290, L.O.F. (CS/CS/CS SB 360), the Act, relating to infrastructure planning and funding. The Act was the subject of a conference committee during the last two days of the 2005 Session and was the last bill to pass both houses during the last hour of that Session.

Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill removes the requirement that the entire comprehensive plan adopted by a local government be financially feasible.

The bill provides legislative findings that urban infill and redevelopment be promoted in Florida.

Transportation concurrency requirements: The bill provides for a waiver of transportation concurrency requirements for urban and redevelopment areas designated in the comprehensive plan for local governments that create a long-term vision that includes adequate finding, services, and multimodal transportation options. Specifically, this provision applies to urban infill and redevelopment areas designated in the comprehensive plan under s. 163.2517, F.S., or areas designated in the comprehensive plan prior to January 1, 2006, as urban infill development, urban redevelopment, or downtown revitalization.

De minimis impacts: The bill removes record keeping and reporting requirements related to transportation de minimis impacts.

Comprehensive Plan Amendments: The bill provides that municipalities that are 90% built-out, are exempt from the statutory limits on the frequency of consideration of amendments to the local comprehensive plan provided that the amendment involves a use of 100 acres or fewer and:

- The cumulative annual effect of the acreage for all amendments adopted does not exceed 500 acres.
- The proposed amendment does not involve the same property that has been granted a change within the prior 12 months.
- The proposed amendment does not involve the same owner's property within 200 feet of property granted a change within the prior 12 months.
- The proposed amendment does not involve a text change to the goals, policies, and objectives of the local government's comprehensive plan but only proposes a land use change to the future land use map for a site-specific small scale development activity.
- The property that is the subject of the proposed amendment is not located within an area of critical state concern.

STORAGE NAME: pcb02.GM.doc PAGE: 2 3/24/2006

Definition of "built-out":

The bill defines the term "built-out" as "90 % of the property within the municipality's boundaries, excluding lands that are designated as conservation, preservation, recreation, or public facilities categories, have been developed, or are the subject oaf an approved development order that has received a building permit, and the municipality has an average density of 5 units per acre for residential development."

Notice Requirements:

- The bill provides that a local government is not required to comply with notice requirements so long as they comply with the provisions of s. 166.041 (3) (c), F.S. Further, the bill authorizes only local governments to enjoy the exemption provided for in this provision.
- The local government shall send copies of the notice and amendment to the sate land planning agency, the regional planning council, and any other person or entity requesting a copy, along with a statement identifying any property subject to the amendment that is located within a coastal high hazard area as identified in the local comprehensive plan.

<u>Public Hearing</u> – The bill provides that amendments adopted pursuant to the provisions of this bill will require only one public hearing before the governing board, which shall be an adoption hearing, and are not subject to the requirements of 163.3184(3) - (6) unless the local government elects to have them subject to those requirements.

<u>Annexation</u> - The bill provides for a municipality may not enjoy the benefit of this exemption if it annexes unincorporated property that decreases the percentage of build-out to an amount below 90%.

<u>Notice of buildout</u> – The bill provides that the local government must notify Department of Community Affairs in writing of its built-out percentage prior to the submission of any local comprehensive plan amendments under this bill.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

<u>Section 1</u>: Amends s. 163.3177, F.S., relating to required and optional elements of comprehensive plan.

Section 2: Amends s. 163.3180, F.S., relating to concurrency.

<u>Section 3</u>: Amends s. 163.3187, F.S., relating to amendments of adopted comprehensive plans.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

None.		

2. Expenditures:

1. Revenues:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

STORAGE NAME: DATE: The bill does not appear to have a direct economic impact on the private sector.

D	FISCAL	COMMENTS	•
υ.	LIOUAL	COMMENTS	_

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds. The bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities. The bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities have to raise revenue.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

Not Applicable.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: PAGE: 4 3/24/2006